The Honorable Robert J. Bryan 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA LAWRENCE HARTFORD; DOUGLAS NO. 3:23-cv-05364-RJB 10 MITCHELL; BRETT BASS; SPORTING SYSTEMS VANCOUVER, INC.; SECOND DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO 11 AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.; AND PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR FIREARMS POLICY COALITION, INC., DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE 12 RELIEF Plaintiffs. 13 VS. 14 BOB FERGUSON, in his official capacity as Washington State Attorney General; et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 COMES NOW Defendants Jason Cummings and Adam Fortney ("Defendants"), by 19 and through their attorneys of record, in response to the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' 20 21 Complaint (hereinafter referred to as "Complaint"). Except as herein expressly admitted or 22 qualified, Defendants deny each and every allegation, statement, or charge contained in the 23 Complaint, and deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief requested. The paragraph 24 numbers below correspond to the paragraph numbers in Plaintiffs' Complaint. 25 26 **Snohomish County** DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 1

(USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)

Snohomish County
Prosecuting Attorney – Civil Division
Robert Drewel Bldg., 8th Floor, M/S 504
3000 Rockefeller Ave
Everett, Washington 98201-4060
(425)388-6330 Fax: (425)388-6333

I. <u>INTRODUCTION.</u>

- 1. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Paragraph 1 consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required. Furthermore, the constitutional provision cited in this paragraph speaks for itself and likewise requires no response. To the extent a response is required, it is denied.
- 2. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Paragraph 2 consists of opinion and conclusory statements to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that Paragraph 2 accurately describes SHB 1240 § 3. Defendants deny any different or remaining allegations.
- 3. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Paragraph 3 asserts opinion and conclusory statements to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, it is denied.
- 4. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Paragraph 4 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, it is denied.
- 5. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Paragraph 5 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, it is denied.
- 6. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants deny that they have infringed or threaten to infringe the rights of the Plaintiffs. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph pertain to another defendant who is separately represented, no response is required.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 3 (USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.

- 7. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants leave the matter of jurisdiction to the Court.
- 8. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Paragraph 8 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, it is denied.
- 9. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, Defendants admit that venue is proper.

III. PARTIES.

- 10. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Compliant, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Doug Mitchell to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 10 and, therefore, deny the same.
- 11. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Compliant, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Lawrence Hartford to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 11 and, therefore, deny the same.
- 12. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Compliant, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Brett Bass to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 12 and, therefore, deny the same.

13. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Compliant, Defendants deny that they have caused any harm to Plaintiff Sporting Systems Vancouver, Inc. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 13 and, therefore, deny the same.

- 14. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Compliant, Defendants deny that they have caused any harm to Plaintiff Second Amendment Foundation or its members, including the individual Plaintiffs. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 14 and, therefore, deny the same.
- 15. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs' Compliant, Defendants deny that they have caused any harm to Plaintiff Firearms Policy Coalition, Inc. or its members, including the individual Plaintiffs. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 15 and, therefore, deny the same.
- 16. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names Bob Ferguson, in his official capacity as Washington State Attorney General, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 16, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.
- 17. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names John R. Batiste, in his official capacity as Chief of the Washington State Patrol, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 17, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.

- 18. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names Clayton Meyers, in his official capacity as Sheriff for Kittitas County, Washington, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.
- 19. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names John Horch, in his official capacity as Sheriff for Clark County, Washington, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 19, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.
- 20. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names John Gese, in his official capacity as Sheriff for Kitsap County, Washington, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 20, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.
- 21. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names Adam Fortney, in his official capacity as Sheriff for Snohomish County, Washington, as a Defendant. Defendants admit that Sheriff Fortney has authority under RCW 36.28.010 to arrest and commit persons guilty of public offenses and the precise extent and scope of this authority is determined by case law and statute. The remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 21 set forth a legal conclusion or opinion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny.
- 22. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Defendants admit that the Complaint names Greg Zempel, in his official capacity as County Prosecutor for Kittitas County, Washington, as a Defendant.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 6 (USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)

With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.

- 23. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Defendants admit that the Complaint names Tony Golick, in his official capacity as County Prosecutor for Clark County, Washington, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 23, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.
- 24. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Defendants admit that the Complaint names Chad M. Enright, in his official capacity as County Prosecutor for Kitsap County, Washington, as a Defendant. With regard to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 24, these allegations are directed at another defendant who is separately represented and therefore no response is required.
- 25. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Complaint names Jason Cummings, in his official capacity as County Prosecutor for Snohomish County, Washington, as a Defendant. Defendants admit that under RCW 36.27.020 Jason Cummings has authority to prosecute criminal and civil actions in which the state or the county may be a party and the precise extent and scope of this authority is determined by case law and statute. The remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 25 set forth a legal conclusion or opinion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.

A. Washington's Ban on Common Semiautomatic Rifles

26. In answering the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that Governor Jay Inslee signed Substitute House Bill 1240.

Defendants admit that Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.

- 27. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 27 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.
- 28. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.
- 29. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.
- 30. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 30 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.
- 31. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 31 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.

B. Washington Has Criminalized A Common And Important Means of Self Defense.

32. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.

- 33. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.
- 34. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit Substitute House Bill 1240 speaks for itself. Defendants deny any and all remaining or different allegations.
- 35. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 36. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 37. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 37 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 38. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 38 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 39. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 40. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 40 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 41. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 41 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 42. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 43. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 43 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 44. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 44 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 45. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 45 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 46. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 47. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 47 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 48. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 48 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 49. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 50. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 51. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 52. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 53. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 54. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 55. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 56. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 57. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

C. Statement Of Fats Relating To Lawrence Hartford.

- 58. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 58 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants incorporate their responses and denials as set forth above.
- 59. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 59 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 60. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 60 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

61. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 61 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 62. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 62 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 63. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 63 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 64. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 64 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Hartford to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 64 and, therefore, deny the same.

D. Statement Of Facts Relating To Doug Mitchell.

- 65. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 65 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants incorporate their responses and denials as set forth above.
- 66. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 66 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 67. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 67 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

	68.	In	answering	the	allegations	in	Paragraph	68	of Plaintiffs'	Complaint
Defer	ndants ar	e wi	ithout suffic	ient	information	to f	form a belie	f as	to the truth or t	falsity of the
allega	itions co	ntaiı	ned therein	and,	therefore, de	eny	the same.			

- 69. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 69 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 70. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 70 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Mitchell to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 70 and, therefore, deny the same.

E. Statement Of Facts Relating To Brett Bass.

- 71. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 71 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants incorporate their responses and denials as set forth above.
- 72. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 72 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 73. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 73 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 74. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 74 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 75. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 75 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 76. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 76 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 77. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 77 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Bass to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 77 and, therefore, deny the same.

F. Statement Of Facts Relating To Sporting Systems Vancouver, Inc.

- 78. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 78 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants incorporate their responses and denials as set forth above.
- 79. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 79 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 80. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 80 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 81. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 81 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

25

82. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 82 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants deny that they have taken any steps to cause Plaintiff Sporting Systems Vancouver, Inc. to fear prosecution. Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 82 and, therefore, deny the same.

V. CAUSE OF ACTION.

- A. Count One: 42. U.S.C § 1983 & Ex Parte Young, 29 U.S. 123 (1908)—Deprivation of Plaintiffs' Rights under the Second and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 83. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 83 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants incorporate their responses and denials as set forth above.
- 84. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 84 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit that the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution speaks for itself.
- 85. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 85 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants admit.
- 86. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 86 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 87. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 87 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 87.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 15

(USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)

24

25

26

88. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 88 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. . Statements of law and their application and relevance to this case will ultimately be determined by the Court.

- 89. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 89 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. Statements of law and their application and relevance to this case will ultimately be determined by the Court.
- 90. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 90 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 90 and, therefore, deny the same.
- 91. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 91 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. Statements of law and their application and relevance to this case will ultimately be determined by the Court.
- 92. In answering the allegations in Paragraph 92 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. Statements of law and their application and relevance to this case will ultimately be determined by the Court.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Answering paragraphs 1 through 92 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants deny Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief as to, or damages from, Defendants. Accordingly,

3

5 6

7 8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 17

(USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)

Plaintiffs' prayer for relief is denied in all respects, as are the facts by which Plaintiffs claim entitlement to same. As to any allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint not expressly admitted or denied, Defendants deny the same.

VII. <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>

Having fully answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants assert that discovery and investigation may reveal that any one or more of the following affirmative defenses is available to them in this matter. Defendants therefore assert the following affirmative defenses in order to preserve the right to assert them. If the facts warrant, Defendants may withdraw any of these affirmative defenses. Defendants reserve the right to amend this Answer to assert additional defenses, cross claims, and counterclaims.

- 1. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiffs lack standing to sue individually and/or on behalf of others.
- 3. Plaintiffs' claims are not ripe.
- 4. To the extent Plaintiffs are attempting to bring a claim for monetary damages, costs or attorney's fees, Defendants may be immune from suit or judgment pursuant to the following doctrines: public duty doctrine, qualified immunity, prosecutorial immunity, and absolute immunity.
- 5. To the extent Defendants have undertaken conduct regarding subjects and events underlying Plaintiffs' Complaint, such conduct was, at all times material thereto, undertaken in good faith and in reasonable reliance on existing law.

Defendants reserve the right to assert additional defenses and affirmative defenses as established by the facts of this case. Defendants also adopt and incorporate by reference

any and all other defenses asserted by any other defendant in this matter to the extent 1 Defendants may share in such defense. 2 VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 3 4 WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant the 5 following relief: 6 1. That Plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that 7 Plaintiffs take nothing thereby. 8 2. That Defendants recover their costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein to the 9 maximum extent permitted by law. 10 3. For other relief as the court deems just and equitable. 11 12 13 DATED this 27th day of June, 2023. 14 JASON J. CUMMINGS 15 **Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney** 16 17 By: *s/ Lyndsey M. Downs* LYNDSEY M. DOWNS, WSBA #37453 18 MARGARET A. DUNCAN, WSBA #47876 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 19 Snohomish County Prosecutor's Office-Civil Div. 20 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S 504 Everett, WA 98201-4060 21 Phone: 425.388.6330 / Fax: 425.388.6333 Lyndsey.Downs@co.snohomish.wa.us 22 Margaret.Duncan@co.snohomish.wa.us Counsel for Defendants Jason Cummings 23 and Adam Fortney 24 25

1		
2		
3	DECLARATION O	F SERVICE
4	I hereby certify that I am an employee of the	e Civil Division of the Snohomish County
5	Prosecuting Attorney, and that I caused to be ser	ved a true and correct copy of foregoing
6	document upon the person(s) listed by the method	(s) indicated:
7	Joel B. Ard	☐ Electronic Filing (CM/ECF)
8	Ard Law Group, PLLC P.O. Box 11633	☐ Email ☐ U.S. First Class Mail
9	Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Joel@Ard.law	Hand Delivery Messenger Service
0	Attorney for Plaintiffs	iviesseliger Service
1	Andrew R.W. Hughes	
2	Andrew.hughes@atg.wa.gov Kristin Beneski	☐ Email ☐ U.S. First Class Mail
3	Kristin.beneski@atg.wa.gov R. July Simpson	☐ Hand Delivery ☐ Messenger Service
4	July.simpson@atg.wa.gov	Messenger service
5	William McGinty William.mcginty@atg.wa.gov	
6	Assistant Attorney Generals For Bob Ferguson and John R. Batiste	
7	Christine M. Palmer	☐ Electronic Filing (CM/ECF)
8	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 614 Division Street, MS-35A	Email U.S. First Class Mail
9	Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676	Hand Delivery
20	<u>Cmpalmer@kitsap.gov</u> Attorney for Defendants	Messenger Service
21	Chad M. Enright and John Gese	
22	Kai A. Smith Meha Goyal	☐ Electronic Filing (CM/ECF)☐ Email
23	Zachary J. Pekelis	U.S. First Class Mail
24	Pacifica Law Group LLP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000	☐ Hand Delivery ☐ Messenger Service
25	Seattle, WA 98101 Kai.smith@pacificalawgroup.com	
26	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 19 (USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)	Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney – Civil Division Robert Drewel Bldg., 8 th Floor, M/S 504 3000 Rockefeller Ave

Everett, Washington 98201-4060 (425)388-6330 Fax: (425)388-6333

1	Meha.goyal@pacificalawgroup.com					
	Zach.pekelis@pacificalawgroup.com Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-					
2	Defendant Alliance for Gun Responsibility					
3	D 14.1	M.E.I. (CM/ECE)				
4	Derek A. Lee Angus Lee Law Firm, PLLC					
	9105A NE Hwy 99, Suite 200	U.S. First Class Mail				
5	Vancouver, WA 98665	Hand Delivery				
6	angus@angusleelaw.com	Messenger Service				
7	For Amici Curiae Washington Gun Rights and American Firearms Association					
7	una American Firearms Association					
8	Leslie A. Lopez					
9	Amanda M. Migchelbrink	Electronic Filing (CM/ECF) □ Energia				
	Clark County Prosecutor's Office P.O. Box 5000	☐ Email ☐ U.S. First Class Mail				
10	Vancouver, WA 98666-5000	Hand Delivery				
11	Leslie.lopez@clark.wa.gov	Messenger Service				
12	Amanda.migchelbrink@clark.wa.gov					
12	Attorneys for Defendants John Horch and Tony Golik					
13	John Horen and Tony Golik					
14	Christopher Horner					
	Civil Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	Electronic Filing (CM/ECF)				
15	Kittitas County, Washington 205 West Fifth	☐ Email ☐ U.S. First Class Mail				
16	Ellensburg, WA 98926	Hand Delivery				
17	Christopher.horner@co.kittitas.wa.us	Messenger Service				
''	Attorney for Defendants					
18	Greg Zempel and Clayton Myers					
19						
20						
20	I declare under the penalty of perjury of the	e laws of the State of Washington that the				
21	foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.					
22	loregoing is true and correct to the best of my kno-	wiedge.				
	DATED this 27 th day of June 2023.					
23						
24	¢/Ni	kki Michel				
25		ki Michel, Legal Assistant				
26	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S	Snohomish County				
	COMPLAINT - 20	Prosecuting Attorney – Civil Division Robert Drewel Bldg., 8 th Floor, M/S 504				
	(USDC 3:23-cv-05364-RJB)	3000 Rockefeller Ave				

3000 Rockefeller Ave Everett, Washington 98201-4060 (425)388-6330 Fax: (425)388-6333